

mead

Sept 95

MEMO

7 left 95

H/N

1

For what purpose
— if any — ~~is~~ it be
justified to ^{might} kill
200,000 civs (or 200
of 500,000)?

Officines, public:

1) to save [a much
larger number? of]

American lives.

2) "To end a war"

[? with VCS? compared to
what then?

2

Shortening it of how
much?

What does might this
justify? CBW?
killing POWs?

Extortion?

(any hint to
murders killed?)

(2) To save enemy lives.

(by (3))

(4) To achieve "victory"?

(5) To avoid defeat; occupation

destruction at home (NATO)
~~extortion~~ - NUCLEAR

To avoid nuclear
attack (or S.B. of
ourselves!):

but this only justifies
CF — with great
"misadventure, collateral
damage."

How did this get
connected with city
breeding (LeMay; UK; H/N)

7 Sept 95

Ken Brown:

- [1-] First War; Russ;

H/N; Bishops NFU

What should

det

abolition

Cath Church do?

[Runt; Merton]

2. Is he a Catholic?

(gen?) View on

God; evil; Church

(fundamentalism,
apocalypse)

- Véronique Clinton
(in campaign!)

- Any hope for campaign
reform?

- Colin Powell?
Jehiel Pons?

- Lessons of last 20
years?

H/N

4.

- so: what if it
~~didn't~~ ~~was~~ his?
did

7 hht

"Out"

- Prep. for ^{use} massacre is very "contg"
morally, psychologically
(Dark)
- and dangerous - because
(most?) races are not
only "good" for
massacre, but also
for CF - will target -
(decision?) - prompting
or FS or

P.G.

Jimmy Brown

Average Dulles
in NY

Marsson

548-0477

Mary

540-7120

~~Mar~~ 2051 Market

489 Castro + 18th

4058 18th Castro CC

510-2107 4th

- 845-3992

Carb 1802 Market

or Germany, if not
united to

1) four-starred Band
or 2) Win ?

(What if : ~~testament~~
of mission of Germany ?
(when?)

- in Battle of Bulge?

- vs. Dresden?

- Berlin? (Successful

drop → surrender!

be content to for!

- ~~Barto~~ Put Bomb
in context with

- offer to Exp.

too one did -

contrary to Takaki talk)

- warning of low
entry

[Graves / Conant, etc.
HST / Byrnes desire

to use Bomb was

not countered - is

HST's hearing - by any
b-r coordination
against using it: Byrnes

- Nor was

Carroll - Bush - Office -
Talbott etc. motive

for using Bond

[or, Graves]

challenged directly
by the Chicago group...

[unless Bond?]

- No one said, to Stinson
(and maybe she didn't
say it to Stinson):

- ~~Do~~ Do X instead
of Bond [GA's "alternates"
Walker's "alternates"]

Not clear "attraction
to the Bomb" -

- men presented as
such;

- all compatible
with Bomb's - compliments,
could be after
or with Bomb, for greater
effect than without Bomb

- some same to work;
or described as "likely,"
or "might well", but
also "almost sure":
single or together

- then compared in
prob. of succeeding,
or is "costs," a possible
interference with later
effectiveness of ... using
Bomb

[e.g. warning
of Bomb would give
up shock (?)

- ~~does~~ - might be
done

- offers might lead to
bargaining, hardening
[vs. ult? or, vs. electricity]

minimal Japanese
offer - by Band
(+ SC ref) - followed
by US reception of
offer

[us. Band, or
systemal approach.

Band will mention
waving of SC ref.

Does Frank see this?)

W/N

Assumption of
strong + "exit" notice
(needed) to "leave"
to use Bomb

- Public (saw him)

- Man (Atomic dip.)

(+ co. domestic entrance
of offer to Exp
("not strong"))

- Tokoku

Group members Attended State
Studying
[Milgram: "Agent state"]

Group Membership
Directed Org

as a "attuned
state" of awareness
(Arch)

act of conscience
responsibility
(blame, shame, guilt)
(Found)

Round:

"Ambivalence to Authenticity"

1. Asch

Milgram

2. Milgram

3. Denby - Kotvin

Reverend:

4th experiment:

"Thermal vs. Benevolence
Altman

DB: 5th:

Truth-telling (saying lies)

vs. promise of secrecy

(career, promise,
group membership,
image, self-image)

~~Sapna~~

Sadantylly

enema

H/V

"What does it take"

- type of motive
- strength of motive
- circumstances,

to get "normal" people

- or anyone
- or masses, orgs,
- or specific people, groups
to study

1) Tartus (Molgan
Greek study

2) Massacred / terrorist / genocide

3) Indiscriminate bombing ~~etc~~

4) SIOB-62 / one unit / onine

threaten, plan, tamper,
or

5) take enveloped, or grant,
risk of above; be about
— or to (6) keep sent, ^{cover} up

— expose / from within
disobey

desist, condemn
withdraw

expose

resist

prevent

such actions, planning
orders?

By whom, & what
process, should above
decisions be made?

Legality? What should it
be?

add:

- destruction of environment
 - of cities, etc.

- major aggression
coups

authorities of democracy
estate of tyranny

diffusion is culture?
ethnic?

personalities?

- How to change
of them?
How to.

(i.e. What changes
are needed?

What changes might
help?

How - currently -
to bring these about?
...to create awareness,
motivation...

General manuscript tion

1. On frequency, ubiquity of the "barbaric" acts
- * 2. Involvement of (directed, planning, by) states
- * 3. In particular, democratic states (in the words of the critics ("West"))
- * 4. In particular, US (in the words of US critics: and most of US &)

i.e. of "us"

(am count
on gov / Pres
our officials
on Party

(on colleagues —
is gov!)

* reflects power (his)
count action (demand)
as well as inevitability

- motives, aims,
strategies involved

Misconceptions

What mis:

- What are motives for acquiring arms?

- For "using" them?

- What sort of person might use them?

Under what circs?

How likely under

such circs?

(see CBW; Terrorism)

Oklahoma City

omniscient:

Manager

- only 2 men

[may know
but silent?]

- not part of org
(identifiable

limited & under
penetrable)

- no clear motives!

or special "profile"

- ambiguous, uncontrolled
motives

- What does it take
to get officials
of

- tobacco companies
- the oil companies
- asbestos
- toxic pollutants
- the industry

to do what they do?

Does it take a
"spinal break"?
"strong" motives

(Can many motives
none of which
look, feel, or have
been believed to be
powerful,
all be "strong"?

or is resistance,
inhibition, motivation
simply weak?

Typ:

Most ("nearly
all") Evil is done
~~by~~ (implemented,
carried out;
and even directed)
by people who
cannot attenuate
—i.e. apart from their
acts in question)*
be identified or
described as "Evil
Individuals"
* or membership in groups ^{doing their} _{acts}

... is done by groups,
orgs, states
(hierarchies and
"crowds")

Misconception:

How much
deliberated - directed
Evil is done by groups
in relation to

reckless, inadvertent,
unplanned, thoughtless

Evil of groups.

not, Why? Motives.

15
a line of ants
is going up tablecloth
or side of table...

A tall Patineer
moments later, I
see a kind of
plastic strip, like
flexpaper, hanging
from a back - ants
are all caught
in it, still struggling
- later, I see, in this
brown gummy tube

large (2-3 in)
skeletons of ants
still struggling...
& say, "How did
they get large?"
(* Pat).

Then, parts of
plastic broke off -
ants, 3-4 in long,
skeletons, struggling
to get out.
(unsuccessfully).

Th, a brown,
gun-counsel
skeleton - brown -
a note - adding
a skeletal brown
body - breaks
free, comes toward
me - it is a
skeleton, about 3
feet high, but
moving to me - I
will have to hide
it away, opposite me

A screen ~

Wake up with a
start, kicking
legs, sitting up -
waking. Post.

A thick & thickish
By the light of
burning babies

("blue light"! Are
you proud,
Gasee?" general
asks US POWs.

I ~~stuck~~ to describe
down to Port - the
was in it - the
decide not to
rip ~~some~~ images on
him, half-awake.

But I lie - offered
to go back to sleep.
Will I start seeing
such images, now?
forever?

(Unbearable).

(End of Review of
the Last Act -

Nazis' flesh
melt (the
torturing Doctor)
- image is the
crisis from out of
the Ark (Jews!)
changes from skin
to ant-like devour
ing baffle -
(finish bomb is
finish "revenge" -
but in Japanese,
not Nazis ...)

(Would future
scientists have
"used it on
humans"?)

(What was the
attitude to
Desires? And by
know?)

~~John~~

John: "We had
100,000 killed in
Tokyo in one night
and it had
seemingly no effect
whatsoever

Ed Craig - ~~the~~

Hummer of the Day
540.

"Code name
Dampfold"

Lawrence Schecton
527-6688

Round-Table
2:30-6 Ashkenaz
Progressive

San Pablo & Hibernia

Pat & me

Scott